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ABSTRACT

In this paper the Kalman filter and regression approaches for estimating linear state space models are compared. It is argued that the Kalman filter is no more efficient from a computational point of view, is relatively more complex and hence more obscure, and that as a consequence its central role in the smoothing, estimation and prediction of time series is questionable.
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INTRODUCTION

The Kalman filter (Kalman, 1960) was originally developed to filter noise from time series. Interest in it for forecasting purposes grew when Harrison and Stephens (1971, 1976) demonstrated its close links with common forms of exponential smoothing (Gardner, 1985). Akaike (1974, 1978) also established that the Kalman filter could be used in conjunction with numerical optimization procedures to find maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters in ARMA models (Box and Jenkins, 1976). Together with subsequent developments for ARIMA and structural models (Harvey and Todd, 1983; Harvey and Pierse, 1984; Ansley and Kohn, 1985; ) these works established Kalman filtering as a key method for smoothing, estimating and predicting time series.

Despite its central role, the Kalman filter is often bypassed in forecasting courses, presumably because of its relative complexity. Thus, in this paper, an alternative approach based on conventional regression analysis from a parallel but lesser known stream of literature is explored. It transpires that when properly implemented, the regression approach not only involves lower computational loads than numerically stable versions of the Kalman filter, but is inherently simpler and more transparent. As such it is better, amongst other things, for teaching purposes.

STATE SPACE MODELS

The Kalman filter is used to estimate so-called linear state space models, the coefficients of which evolve over time according to shocked
linear first-order recurrence relationships. An important example is the local linear trend model (Harrison, 1967) underpinning trend corrected exponential smoothing (Holt, 1957). A time series, denoted by $z_t$ at time $t$, has a local mean $\mu_t$ and a growth rate $\delta_t$ which evolve according to the recurrence relationships:

$$\mu_t = \mu_{t-1} + \delta_{t-1} + \eta_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$\delta_t = \delta_{t-1} + \zeta_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

while $z_t$ itself is given by

$$z_t = \mu_t + \epsilon_t.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The disturbances $\eta_t$, $\zeta_t$, and $\epsilon_t$ have zero means and variances $\sigma^2_\eta$, $\sigma^2_\zeta$, $\sigma^2_\epsilon$ respectively and satisfy the following independence conditions:

$$E\eta_t \eta_s = 0; \ E\zeta_t \zeta_s = 0; \ E\epsilon_t \epsilon_s = 0 \quad t \neq s$$

$$E\eta_t \zeta_s = 0; \ E\eta_t \epsilon_s = 0; \ E\zeta_t \epsilon_s = 0.$$

Duncan and Horn (1972) showed that state space models can be rewritten in the form of a regression

$$y = X\beta + u,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$y$ being a random $n$-vector, $X$ a fixed $n \times k$ design matrix, $\beta$ a random $k$-vector vector of coefficients, and $u$ a random $n$-vector of disturbances with zero mean and a diagonal variance matrix $V$. The essential idea is that all state space model coefficients such as $\mu_t$ and $\delta_t$ can be stacked to form the vector $\beta$. For example, the result obtained for the local linear model with a sample $\{z_1, z_2, z_4\}$ is shown in Figure 1. The equation for $z_3$ has been withheld because the
corresponding observation is missing from the sample. Since the model is nonstationary and $\beta$ has a diffuse prior distribution, the conventional linear least squares method can be employed to yield an estimate of it provided values for the variance ratios $q_1 = \sigma_\eta^2/\sigma_\epsilon^2$ and $q_2 = \sigma_\epsilon^2/\sigma_\epsilon^2$ are specified. The resulting components $\hat{\mu}_1$, $\hat{\mu}_2$, $\hat{\mu}_3$ and $\hat{\mu}_4$ of $\hat{\beta}$, the estimate of $\beta$, can be interpreted as smoothed values of the time series and, in particular, $\hat{\mu}_3$ can be viewed as an estimate of the missing value $z_3$. Future values of the time series can also be predicted with

$$\hat{y}_{4+\ell} = \hat{\mu}_4 + \ell \cdot \hat{\beta}_4$$

$\ell = 1, 2, \ldots$

Given the optimal properties of this approach it can be established that these results are identical to those normally obtained with the Kalman filter.
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Figure 1. Regression Formulation of Local Trend Model
MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION

In most applications parameters such as the variance ratios $q_1$ and $q_2$ are themselves unknown and maximum likelihood estimates of them must be obtained. However, the likelihood function is undefined for non-stationary models because $\beta$ has a diffuse prior distribution. An alternative strategy is to use the marginal likelihood function (Kalbfleish and Sprott, 1970) without the nuisance coefficients $\beta$. It can be established that this marginal likelihood function can be written in terms of the one-step ahead prediction errors $e_i$ as follows:

$$
\ell = \left(2n\sigma^2_e\right)^{-\frac{(n-k)}{2}} \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{h_i\sigma^2_e}\right)^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{e_i^2}{2h_i\sigma^2_e} \right\} \quad (5)
$$

where the $h_i$ are scaled mean squared errors of the $e_i$. This result is sometimes referred to as the 'prediction error decomposition of the likelihood function' (Schweppe, 1965).

Procedures to find the maximum likelihood estimates require the evaluation of (5) for many trial values of the parameters. The Kalman filter is conventionally employed to generate the associated one-step ahead prediction errors $e_i$ and their scaled mean squared errors $h_i$ for each trial. However, it can be shown that these same crucial quantities can be obtained as a by-product of the orthogonalization procedure based on fast Givens transformations (Gentleman 1973; Stirling, 1981) when it is used to compute the least squares estimate $\hat{\beta}$ in a regression model. As such, the Kalman filter can be replaced by the regression approach in maximum likelihood procedures.
CONCLUDING REMARKS

It has been briefly demonstrated how a regression approach can be used instead of the more complex Kalman filter in smoothing, estimation and prediction problems in time series analysis. Although the resulting regressions are often quite large, a study by Page and Saunders (1977) indicates that efficient least squares routines which automatically exploit sparsity have computational loads and storage requirements that are comparable to those associated with numerically stable versions of the Kalman filter. Although $V^{-1}$ may not exist in special but important cases where some of the variances equal zero, the associated relationships reduce to linear restrictions and these can be handled automatically by Stirlings (1981) least squares method. And although the approach in this paper was concerned with a nonstationary model, it is also readily adapted to cope with stationary cases where $\beta$ has an informative prior distribution.

Interestingly, the regression approach works better in non-stationary cases such as the local trend model. The Kalman filter, when initiated with a diffuse prior distribution can be numerically unstable and special, more complex algorithms (Ansley and Kohn, 1985; Snyder, 1988) with relatively high computational loads are required to guard against such a possibility. It transpires that during initialization, the regression approach involves lower than normal computational loads so that its performance definitely is superior in these situations. Overall, then, the regression approach is not only inherently simpler and more transparent, but the evidence suggests that it can involve lower computational loads. As such it leads us to ask: "Why Kalman Filter?"
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