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Abstract

The aim of this paper was to identify factors influencing dynamics of international beer export. The Poisson pseudo maximum likelihood estimator was employed to estimate the export determinants of the biggest global beer exporters to 199 destinations between 2000 and 2017. We estimated that international beer export is positively influenced by gross domestic product of importing countries and cultural similarities. Trade between countries that share common borders, same language or colonial links has shown to be a very strong and positive determinant. We found a significant role of customs unions and signed free trade agreements; however, this result was not robust across all estimated models. Beer export is negatively affected by the distance between trading countries and their landlockedness, which confirms the relevance of transport cost in beer trade. Beer exports are declining with rise in population of importing countries, which signals an advantage in targeting countries with less differentiated beer supply.
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Introduction

The last few decades have been characterised by significant changes in the global beer markets. Historically highly fragmented global beer industry has gone through a process of consolidation via mergers and acquisitions (M&As). Increasing concentration lead primarily by utilization of the economy of scale reduced the number of competitors on the market and resulted in less variety and unification of beer products (Tremblay and Tremblay, 2004; Madsen and Wu, 2016). At the same time, a trend of premiumisation of the production motivated by consumers’ interest in new, exclusive and origin-specific products has taken off (Goméz-Corona et al., 2016). In the US and Western Europe, the craft beer segment expanded substantially, bringing back high product diversity to consumers who nowadays drink less but they search for higher quality products. Formation and expansion of craft breweries started in the 1970s in the USA. Western Europe joined the trend in 1980s, while in Eastern Europe, Slovakia including, the craft beer revolution started after year 2000 (Pokrivčák et al., 2019 and 2017).

Changes in the structure of beer markets have significant influence on international trade. From the early decades of the 20th century, the beer production has been growing globally. Initially, Europe was the biggest producer of beer. After the 1950s, however, American and Asian countries gradually begun to catch up with the European levels of production, what together with other factors contributed to the rise of world beer trade. Despite growing international beer trade, only around 15 % (in 2017) of the global beer production is traded (Figure 1). Global beer export more than doubled since the year 2000, and currently it amounts to 15.1 billion litters a year (value of 14.3 billion dollars). The top world beer exporter Mexico is followed by the Netherlands, Belgium and Germany, while the largest amount of beer is produced in China, the United States, Brazil, Mexico, and Germany. In 2017, the European countries contributed to the global beer export with 57.1 % and North American share reached 32 %. On the other hand, the leading beer importing area is North America (42 % of the world beer imports) followed by Europe with the share of 35 %.

Beer trade is also driven by changes in beer consumption. Consumption in typical beer countries such as United Kingdom, Germany, Denmark
or Belgium shows a downward trend; in case of the world’s leading per capita beer consumer, Czechia, the consumption does not rise in the long run (see Figure 2). On the other hand, a significant increase in beer consumption has occurred in emerging economies and in Asian and African countries. According to Swinnen (2017), globalization and income are the main factors responsible for changing beer drinking patterns. As income rises, poorer people spend more on beer but at some point, the positive effect of income growth on beer consumption reverses. One possible explanation is that people become more aware of health risks of alcohol consumption, another that with higher income, they can buy also more expensive alcoholic drinks such as wine or spirits. Other important factor influencing global beer consumption and trade is religion. Some religions, e.g. Islam, Buddhist or Mormon, do not allow the consummation of alcohol (Ashley and Rankin, 1988). That accordingly limits trade with countries where the share of non-drinkers is substantial.

Beer comprises mostly water, thus, its trade costs are high. Because of high trade costs, firms in the beer industry tend to localize their production near consumers (Olper et al., 2012). As an answer to the decline of beer consumption, brewing companies were forced to consolidate and to use in-country production licences (McGowan and Mahon, 2007). Furthermore, many countries use protectionist policies (e.g. tariffs, government standards and laws, certifications, testing of consignments, excise duties), which create additional restrictions to trade with alcoholic beverages (Bjelić, 2016). The effect of tariff barriers can be lowered when regional and preferential trade agreements (RTA and PTA) exist between the trading partners. Zeigler (2008) finds that free trade agreements (FTA) lead to reduction of trade barriers, they increase competition in the alcohol industry and by that contribute to the decline of alcohol prices. FTAs affect both tariff and non-tariff barriers; they contain services, investment or intellectual property rights (Wine institute, 2019).
**Gravity and trade**

Quantity and value of beer exports to foreign markets depend heavily on characteristics of domestic and world markets. Gravity model of trade is often used to study the effects of characteristics of domestic and international markets on trade. Most studies using gravity model analyse total foreign trade. A smaller number of studies focuses on analysing foreign trade changes of specific commodities such as alcoholic beverages in general or beer in particular. For example, Pinilla and Serrano (2008) evaluated the role of trade policies in Spanish table wine export. Balogh (2015) examined global wine trade flows with the conclusion that cultural similarities and trade agreements between trading partners lower the cost of wine export. Bouët et al. (2017) found that as it is in case of other luxury products, the distance elasticity of cognac exports is negative but relatively small, while the elasticity to GDP is positive and relatively large. According to Dal Bianco et al. (2015), trade costs do matter considerably in case of wine trade: tariffs have the largest impact followed by geographical distance. The findings of De Matteis et al. (2018) suggest that technical barriers to trade adversely impacted the exports of distillers dried grains with solubles to an extent larger than the influence of tariff. In case of beer, gravity with combination of pricing to market model was used by Dreyer et al. (2017) to identify factors enhancing German beer export. The authors found that German beer export is strongly affected by the membership of trading partners in the EU and introduction of the Euro.

To our knowledge, there are only few studies investigating factors influencing beer exports, i.e. why beer is traded to particular countries and which factors stimulate the beer trade positively and otherwise: Dreyer et al. (2017) examined German beer exports during 1991 – 2010; Olper et al. (2012) analysed EU bilateral beer and wine exports during 2000 – 2009; McGowan and Mahon (2007) analysed the impact of NAFTA on US beer trade flows during 1992 – 2001. In this paper using gravity modelling, we aim to identify factors stimulating current international beer export dynamics. It is important to know how structural changes in global beer market affected trade with beer. Based on empirical studies presented in the introduction of the paper, we expect some of the selected variables to act as trade attractors and other as trade frictions. Regarding that, we test the following hypotheses:

**H1:** GDP of importing countries and beer exports to these countries have a directly proportional relationship.

**H2:** Exporting beer to countries sharing a common language lowers trade cost and increases beer export.

**H3:** Beer export rises with a decrease in geographical distance between trading countries.

**H4:** Trade liberalisation (FTAs, customs unions) positively affects beer export.

**Materials and methods**

Gravity model is used for modelling the allocation of traded goods transmitted from the export country \(i\) to the destination (importing) country \(j\). In terms of international trade assessment, the model originates with Tinbergen (1962), who proposed that bilateral trade flows between countries can be approximated by employing the Newton’s gravity equation. In its basic form, the gravity equation for trade can be written as

\[
T_{ij} = a_0 Y_i^{a_1} Y_j^{a_2} D_{ij}^{a_3} D_{ij}^{a_4} + \varepsilon_{ij},
\]

where \(T_{ij}\) is trade flow from country \(i\) to country \(j\), which is directly proportional to the gross domestic product (GDP) of \(i\) and \(j\) and inversely proportional to the distance between \(i\) and \(j\). \(a_0\), \(a_1\), \(a_2\), and \(a_3\) represent unknown (estimated) parameters and \(\varepsilon_{ij}\) is the error term. In empirical studies the basic model is extended by variables, which according to the trade theory can influence the trade flows between countries: economic attractors of export, semi-economic or political factors, trade frictions and factors representing trade costs.

The estimation of the gravity equation can be done by different methods. First, the natural logarithms of all variables can be taken to create a log-linear gravity equation standardly estimated by Ordinary least squares (OLS):

\[
\ln T_{ij} = \ln a_0 + a_1 \ln Y_i + a_2 \ln Y_j + a_3 \ln D_{ij} + \ln \varepsilon_{ij}.
\]

The data, however, includes zero trade observation, i.e. situation when in a particular time period some pairs of countries did not trade or when there are missing values. As the logarithm of zero is not defined, it creates a problem for the use of the log-linear gravity equation. The zero trade flows could be omitted in the sample, but we would lose a part of trade information, or/and it could lead to biased results (Burger et al., 2009). One of the techniques used for treating zero values is employing the Poisson pseudo maximum likelihood (PPML).
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The estimated model takes the following general form

\[ EX_{ijt} = \exp(\ln a_0 + a_1 \ln gdp_i + a_2 \ln gdp_j + a_3 \ln pop_i + a_4 \ln prod_i + a_5 \ln contig_i + a_6 \ln comlang_i + a_7 \ln curcol_i + a_8 \ln smctry_i + a_9 \ln curr_i + a_{10} \ln FTA_i + a_{11} \ln CU_j + a_{12} \ln dist_{ij} + a_{13} \ln land_j + a_{14} \ln island_j + a_{15} \ln Eur_j + a_{16} \ln ndrel_j + \mu_{ijt}) \]  

(3)

where:

- \( EX_{ijt} \) – volume of beer export from export country \( i \) to import country \( j \) in year \( t \) in millions of litters and billions of US dollars
- \( a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{16} \) – estimated elasticities of variables
- \( \mu_{ijt} \) – constant
- \( \epsilon_{ijt} \) – error term
- \( gdp_i \) and \( gdp_j \) – gross domestic product of \( i \) and \( j \) in billion USD
- \( pop_i \) – population of \( j \) in millions of people
- \( prod_i \) – beer production of \( i \) in millions of litters
- \( contig_i \) – dummy variable (dummy) equal unity when \( i \) and \( j \) have common borders
- \( comlang_i \) – dummy equal unity when \( i \) and \( j \) share the same official language
- \( curcol_i \) – dummy equal unity when currently \( i \) and \( j \) have a colonial link
- \( smctry_i \) – dummy equal unity when \( i \) and \( j \) are/were part of the same country
- \( curr_i \) – dummy equal unity when \( i \) and \( j \) use common official currency
- \( FTA_i \) – dummy equal unity when \( i \) and \( j \) have a signed free trade agreement
- \( CU_j \) – dummy equal unity when \( i \) and \( j \) are members of a customs union
- \( dist_{ij} \) – average distance of \( i \) and \( j \) in km
- \( land_j \) – dummy equal unity when \( i \) or \( j \) are landlocked countries
- \( island_j \) – dummy equal unity when \( j \) is an island
- \( Eur_j \) – dummy equal unity when \( j \) is a European country
- \( ndrel_j \) – share of adherence of religions that do not allow alcohol consumption

### Description of variables and data sources

To estimate effects of factors influencing the international beer export, a balanced panel dataset is created, i.e., the zero observation of the dependent variable are included. International beer export is represented by export volumes of the biggest global beer exporting countries (Belgium, China, Czech Republic, Denmark, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Mexico, Netherlands, Poland, Spain, Portugal, United Kingdom and USA); their exports account for more than 87% of global beer export (Table 1). The dependent variable is the annual volume of export in mil. litters and in billion USD dollars from 15 selected reporters to 199 importing countries in the period of 2000 – 2017. Export data was extracted from UN Comtrade database (corresponding to the harmonised system code HS4 2203).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporter</th>
<th>2000 – 2017</th>
<th>Share, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czechia</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total world export</td>
<td>174.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share on global export</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: UN Comtrade, 2019  
Table 1: World beer export in 2000 – 2017 in billion US dollars.

First explanatory variables are conventional gravity model variables gross domestic product (GDP) of exporting countries \( i \) and GDP of importing countries \( j \). Data on GDP is expressed in million USD (current prices) and retrieved from the World Bank database. In case of exporter, GDP represents its productive capacity. We expect that increase
in GDP will increase the exporters’ production possibilities and by that the availability of goods for export. GDP of importers represents a proxy for purchasing power of consumers. As beer is considered a normal good, we expect an income growth to cause a rise of beer demand.

The population of importing country represents potential consumers of beer. However, no a priori relationship between population and trade has been identified in other studies. A positive sign would mean that bigger countries (in terms of population) can absorb more of goods traded; a negative sign according to Giorgio (2004) means that growing population has a need for more differentiated supply of goods or that the more populated the countries are, the larger is their own production of goods. Data on population size of the 199 importing countries is taken from the World Bank database.

The production variable is used as a proxy for output capacity (supply) of exporters. We expect the coefficient of the variable to be positive. Production data are drawn from Food and Agriculture Organization Corporate Statistical database (FAOSTAT) and Kirin Beer University database.

Data on average distance between the trading countries comes from CEPII database, which calculates distance between countries considering the 25 most populated cities of each country weighted by the share of the cities in country’s total population. Distance variable is used as a proxy for transport costs of trade. According to Buch et al. (2004) and Márquez-Ramos et al. (2007), the magnitude and sign of the distance coefficient are related to the importance of bilateral activities with partners that are far away relative to those that are located nearby. We expect that beer export will be negatively influenced by the geographical distance of trading partners.

CEPII database was also used to acquire data on common official language, common borders, colonial history of trading countries and data on countries that once were or currently are a part of the same state. Trade with neighbouring countries can be beneficial, as it reduces transport costs. We included a dummy variable to control for this effect and expecting its coefficient to be positive. Other variables related to transport costs are dummy variables: \( land_{ij} \), which takes the value of 1 when \( i \) or \( j \) from a trading country-pair is landlocked, and \( island_{ij} \) equal unity when \( j \) is an island. In both cases, a country being isolated by water or by other countries hindering the water access creates additional transport costs of trade. We do not use an island dummy for the exporters; even if United Kingdom and Singapore are island countries, they are using man-made causeways for ground transportation.

Trade costs not only include costs of transportation, they also can be related to exchange rates. The logic behind that is that common currency of a trading country-pair reduces the exchange rate volatility (Costa-i-Font, 2010) and eliminates transaction costs due to use of different currencies (Albertin, 2008), i.e. it decreases the cost of trade. To capture this effect, we include the variable common currency (data taken from World atlas.com). Many studies were done on this topic, however, there is no consensus on significance of the effect of common currency on trade. Some studies found a positive influence of common currencies and monetary unions on trade volumes, e.g. Rose and Stanley (2005) or Baldwin et al. (2005), who analysed the effect of euro, but no significant effect was determined by Thom and Walsh (2002) in case of Anglo-Irish trade and sterling currency union or Berger and Nitsch (2008) in case of European economic and monetary union. We expect a common currency used by trading countries to lower the trade costs and enhance beer trade.

The language variable is added to capture possible effect of common official language of trade partners on export. Speaking the language of the trading partner is essential in terms of negotiating contracts, handling legislative formalities but also for consumers who need to have sufficient information about the product on the label. We expect the language factor to have a positive effect on beer trade. A positive coefficient sign is expected in case of variables common colonial link of trading countries and the fact that they are/were a common country as well.

Data on adherence fractions of population of different religion groups and atheists in 2000 was retrieved from the World Christian Database. We estimated the share of people belonging to religions not allowing alcohol consumption (Muslims and Buddhists) on countries’ total population number. The expectation is that the higher the share of non-drinkers in importing country, the lower the beer export.

Beer consumption in typical European beer-drinking countries is currently falling, which can influence beer exports to Europe. The variable \( Eur_{ij} \) is included to capture these changes on the international beer market.
As mentioned in the literature review, the relatively high trade cost of beer can be lowered when creating political and semipolitical relations to other countries, e.g. customs union, trade agreements. Customs unions (CU) allow free movement of goods and services, free trade agreements (FTA) decrease or eliminate tariffs and trade barriers. We expect, therefore, a positive coefficient of customs union and FTA variables. Data on CU and FTA comes from the Regional trade agreements information system (RTA-IS) of WTO.

We include fixed effects represented by a different set of dummy variables to control for other unobserved and omitted variables: time fixed effects capture the export variation over time and shocks affecting export flows; bilateral country pair fixed effects capture any unobserved relations and effects between country pairs constant over time; and exporter and importer fixed effects are used to control for variables specific to exporter or importer country, e.g. factor endowments, geographic terms, other factors specific to an exporter or importer of beer.

**Statistical analysis**

For econometric estimation, we use the STATA 13.1 software. As dataset includes zero observation of the dependent variable (i.e. when no trade between \( i \) and \( j \) occurs), we applied the PPML estimation method suggested by Silva and Tenreyro (2006).

We estimate several models with dependent variable expressed in value and in quantity terms differing in fixed effects included to account for different kinds of unobserved variability. For models A and D, we introduce exporters and time fixed effects; for models B and E, we additionally include importer fixed effects; and for models C and F, we introduce bilateral country pair and time fixed effects.

To test the adequacy of the estimated models, we perform the Ramsey regression equation specification error test (RESET) (Ramsey, 1969). The null-hypothesis states that all coefficients are zero. When the corresponding p-value is lesser than 0.05, the null-hypothesis is rejected, and the model suffers from misspecification (i.e. another functional form is more fitted).

As suggested in Shepherd (2013), the robust option in STATA is employed to estimate standard errors robust to arbitrary patterns of heteroscedasticity. We assume that the error term is uncorrelated across clusters (i.e. across pair of trading countries) but correlated within a cluster. Thus, the distance variable \( \text{dist}_{ij} \) specific to each country pair is used for clustering in data to avoid understated standard errors (Moulton, 1990).

**Results and discussion**

The estimation results for all estimated models are reported in Table 2. To test models’ adequacy, the RESET test was performed, and its p-values are displayed at the bottom of table 2. In case of model A and D, we reject the null hypothesis, which indicates that these models are inappropriately specified. Other models pass the RESET test, and we will use them for interpretation. Together with explanatory variables these models explain 87 – 95 % of the variability in international beer export. Most of the explanatory variables are statistically significant, and coefficients have the expected signs.

GDP of importing countries is according the estimated p-value statistically significant at the 1 % level, and its coefficient has a positive sign for both models in terms of quantity and in terms of value. This confirms the hypothesis H1 and suggests that an increase in the importing country’s GDP would be followed by an increase in exports to the country. Specifically, the estimated coefficients have the value of 0.66 – 0.89 for value of export and 1.06 – 1.27 for quantity of export. We can conclude that by its consumers, beer is considered a superior good. Our results are consistent with other studies, which report the income elasticity of beer be positive; for most countries and products, the coefficient ranges from 0.35 to 0.9 (Fogarty, 2010), in case of German beer export from 0.60 to 0.96 (Dreyer et al., 2017). The income growth in exporter country proved to have no significant influence on international beer export.

Exporter’s supply capacity was proxied by the production variable. The authors of the study by Olper et al. (2012) dealing with European wine and beer export state that the standard level on the product level is frequently less than 1.0. However, we could not confirm a statistically significant influence of the production variable. Results reveal that change in beer exports is not significantly linked to a change in production of the exporters. It could mean that exporter countries produce enough beer to allocate it abroad, and there are other factors determining the changes of export activity (e.g. consumption changes in export countries, economies of scale).
### Table 2: Estimation results.

The population variable is significant and has a negative sign. We can interpret this results in accordance with Giorgio (2004), thus, for the beer exporters, it has a greater advantage to export to less populated countries with smaller self-production or less differentiated supply of beer. Similar result is obtained also in Ekanayake et al. (2010) or by Anderson (1979).

Some trading countries in our sample use the same official language. This variable is especially important in the case of bottled or canned beer, which has to contain detailed product information (on the label) in the language of the importing country. This variable is strongly statistically significant (i.e. hypothesis H2 is confirmed at the 5% level).
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level) and ranges between 0.72 – 0.76. It shows that trading countries sharing common language tend to trade 105 – 114 % (exp(0.72) -1 = 1.05) more than otherwise. In contrast, the language variable was not significant in case of European (Olper et al., 2012) and German (Dreyer et al., 2017) beer export. According to Olper, the insignificance can be due to multicollinearity between contiguity and language, as EU countries sharing common border shared also a common language.

Another factor, which was expected to have a positive effect on international beer export, is trade with neighbouring countries. The variable is strongly statistically significant, the coefficient varies between 0.87 (in terms of export quantity) and 0.97 (in terms of export value). Countries sharing common borders tend to trade 139 – 164 % more other countries. The fact that trade between contiguous countries is enhanced is also reported in the study of Olper et al. (2012), where the effect for European beer export was 228 % and for wine export 153 %.

Colonial links between trading partners positively influence international beer export (coefficient 1.38 – 1.44). Importers currently having a colonial link to the exporter trade approximately 297 – 322 % more than countries without a current colonial link. The influence of trading partners being a part of one country was found not statistically significant.

We found (model C and F) a significant and positive effect of signed FTAs and membership in customs unions on the volume of beer exports; the trade creating effect ranges between 40 – 63 % compared to countries which are not members of CU or without FTAs. However, these results failed to be significant according other estimated models, therefore, we consider the hypothesis H4 not confirmed. The free trade agreement effect in beer sector was analysed also by Dreyer et al. (2017) in terms of the membership of German beer importers in the EU, and while the least square estimation showed a positive influence of FTAs on export, this result was not robust according to PPML. Next, we estimated the influence of common currency used by trading country-pairs. As the variable is not statistically significant, it shows that beer exports are not sensitive to a use of common currency. Dreyer et al. (2017) found a beer trade-increasing effect of Euro, but the effect of the variable does not appear in our sample, which includes countries sharing also other currencies.

Our further findings show that transport costs (proxied by geographical distance, landlockedness and island separation) play an important role in beer export dynamics. Geographical distance is a conventional gravity model variable. Its coefficient proved statistically significant at the 1 % level and it ranges between -0.82 and -0.85. It proves the hypothesis H3 that trade falls with distance, specifically, a 10 % increase in distance between trading countries lowers the international beer export by 8.2 – 8.5 %. This result is consistent with the result for European beer exports estimated by Olper et al. (2012), coeff. -0.91. The influence of the landlockedness is strongly significant and the coefficient is negative as expected. We found that if at least one of the trading partners is land-locked, their trade is 74 – 78 % lower than otherwise. The effect of importing countries being islands could not have been estimated in the corresponding fixed effect models.

Because of multicollinearity issue, the effect of religion and importer country belonging to the European continent could not have been estimated in the well-specified fixed effect models, and we cannot comment on their trade changing effect.

To conclude, international trade with beer is enhanced by cultural and geographical proximity of countries and trade cost play a significant trade-reducing role. Our findings are generally in line with the development on the international beer market outlined in the literature review of this paper; the estimation brings robust results across the specified models. This paper adds to the existing literature in following ways: we use a methodological approach appropriate to deal with zero trade flows and heteroscedasticity of trade data (PPML); we introduce fixed effects for controlling for the multilateral resistance terms; we adopt a great number of observations and create a vast database on beer trade-influencing factors, which can be used as a base for further research.

Conclusion

The objective of this paper was to identify determinants of international beer export using the gravity model approach with fixed effects. The estimated models explain more than 87 % of the international beer export variability. The unexplained variability could be related to factors such as trade openness of countries, changes of specific tariffs or exchange rates, not covered by our model.

According to estimation results, international beer exports in the period of 2000 – 2017 were
positively influenced by the gross domestic product of importing countries. We estimated the income elasticity of beer to be positive, which indicates that beer is considered a superior good. Countries sharing common borders, same language or colonial past tend to trade beer more than countries without such relationships. Trade between contiguous countries benefits from low transport costs. The knowledge of the trading partner’s language is especially important in the case of bottled or canned beer, which contains information about the traded product on the label. Furthermore, common language reduces transaction costs. In addition, we found a positive effect of FTAs or/and customs unions, which members tend to trade more than non-members. Results reveal that for our sample of countries, beer exports are not sensitive to a use of common currency.

Beer export activities are negatively influenced by the distance between trading countries and their landlockedness – proxy variables for transport cost of beer in international space. According to the results, change in beer exported is not significantly linked to changes in its production, which means that there are other more important factors determining how much beer produced is being exported (e.g. changes in own consumption in exporter country, results of economies of scale). As importer’s population size and trade are negatively correlated, beer exporters can benefit from exporting to less populated countries with smaller self-production or less differentiated supply of beer.
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