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Abstract. I describe two utilities dealing with value labels. labeldup reports and optionally removes duplicate value labels. labelrename renames a value label. Both utilities, of course, preserve the links between variables and value labels and support multilingual datasets.
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1 Introduction

This brief insert describes two utilities for value labels. labeldup describes duplicate value labels, e.g., two or more value labels that consist of two value-to-string mappings, namely, 0 to “no” and 1 to “yes”. labeldup optionally removes the duplicate labels, using one of the original value labels while making sure that variables are still correctly value labeled. labelrename renames a value label and updates all associations between variables and this value label.

These two utilities were developed in parallel to mlanguage, which is described in Weesie (2005). mlanguage imposes a naming convention on the value labels. The ability to rename value labels in a dataset makes it easier to match those conventions. The ability to eliminate duplicate value labels facilitates adding a set of labels in another language—obviously, you would want to translate the value label (0 → “no”, 1 → “yes”) into, say, Spanish, only once, not 100 times. Not only would you be wasting time, but it is easy to make mistakes: different translations in different copies of the value label, typos, translating the wrong labels, etc. Duplication of value labels is a form of redundancy (non-normalization) that you should avoid. A trivial reason is that datasets are larger than necessary. In most cases, you would hardly bother about this. Much more importantly, redundancy is a threat to data integrity, increases the maintenance costs of datasets, and makes it more difficult to create correct multilingual datasets, i.e., datasets with more than one defined set of labels.

These two utilities provide some support for multilingual datasets generated with the commands label language (included in the Stata update 8.1) and mlanguage (see Weesie 2005). It is possible to rename value labels that belong to a dormant (inactive) language. Duplicates among the value labels are found, irrespective of the language sets to which they belong. This is only a first step in multilingual support. Currently, no simple way is provided to rename matching value labels in multiple languages; you have to rename value labels in each of the languages “manually”. Also, no support is provided to select among duplicates only if equivalent duplications exist in each of the languages.
2 Example

Many Stata users will sometimes face the challenge of importing a dataset stored in another format. Stat/Transfer, an independent software program from Circle Systems, can transfer a dataset between, say, SPSS’s .sav format and Stata’s .dta format. Many other statistical database systems support value labels, treating them as properties of variables rather than as separate objects that may be attached to variables, as Stata does. Stat/Transfer generates a Stata dataset with separate value labels for each value-labeled variable. Thus imported databases will likely have considerable value label redundancy. We will illustrate how the commands `labeldup` and `labelrename` can be used to “polish” the value labels of such an imported dataset.

The starting point of this example is an artificial Stata dataset that I constructed for this purpose from the standard automobile data.

```
. use auto_labutil, clear
   (1978 Automobile Data)
. describe
Contains data from auto_labutil.dta
    obs:          74  1978 Automobile Data
   vars:         7  18 Apr 2005 13:00
  size:     2,072 (99.9% of memory free)  (_dta has notes)

variable name   type     format      label        variable label
------------------- ------- ----------- -------------- ---------------
    make       str17  %-17s      Make and Model
      price     int     %8.0gc     Price
     rep78    byte    %13.0g   repair  Repair Record 1978
     rep79    byte    %9.0g   repair  Repair Record 1979
     rep80    byte    %9.0g   repair  Repair Record 1980
     foreign  byte    %8.0g     origin Car type
      engine  byte    %9.0g     origin Engine type

Sorted by: foreign
. label list
origin:
   0 Domestic
   1 Foreign
repair:
   1 very bad
   2 bad
   3 mediocre
   4 good
   5 very good
```

This is a standard Stata dataset with five value-labeled variables and two value labels. The value label `repair` is attached to three variables (`rep78`, `rep79`, and `rep80`); the value label `origin` is attached to two variables (`engine` and `foreign`).

Now suppose that the data are not yet in Stata format, but, say, are in a SPSS system file `auto_labutil.sav`. I want to convert this SPSS system file into Stata format. On my computer, I use the command-line interface of Stat/Transfer to convert the dataset.
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from SPSS into Stata format with the following command (the flag /y indicates the output files may overwrite existing files):

```
  . shell c:\ProgramFiles\StatTransfer7\st auto_labutil.sav
  > auto_labutil_from_spss.dta /y
```

I can now load and describe the data:

```
  . use auto_labutil_from_spss, clear
  . des

Contains data from auto_labutil_from_spss.dta
  obs: 74
  vars: 7
  size: 2,072 (99.9% of memory free)

  variable name   type format  display  value label
  make str17 %17s  make and model
  price int %8.0g  price
  rep78 byte %8.0g rep78 repair record 1978
  rep79 byte %8.0g rep79 repair record 1979
  rep80 byte %8.0g rep80 repair record 1980
  foreign byte %8.0g foreign car type
  engine byte %8.0g engine engine type
```

Sorted by:
```
  . label list
  rep78:
    1 very bad
    2 bad
    3 mediocre
    4 good
    5 very good
  rep79:
    1 very bad
    2 bad
    3 mediocre
    4 good
    5 very good
  rep80:
    1 very bad
    2 bad
    3 mediocre
    4 good
    5 very good
  foreign:
    0 domestic
    1 foreign
  engine:
    0 domestic
    1 foreign
```

I focus on the value labels. As stated before, Stat/Transfer has created five value labels named after the variables to which they are attached. Clearly the value labels `engine` and `foreign`, and similarly `rep78`, `rep79`, and `rep80`, are identically defined. In this pet example, these replications are easy to spot, and it would be easy to clean up
the data. For a big dataset with thousands of variables and thousands of value labels, such replications are much harder to track, and eliminating the duplicates requires a lot of irritating and error-prone work. Here `labeldup` automates the process.

```
   . labeldup
   2 sets of duplicate value labels found:
   Dupset 1: engine foreign
   Dupset 2: rep78 rep79 rep80
   Specify option select to compress value labels using underlined labels
   Specify option names() to select other value names to be retained
```

`labeldup` has indeed correctly identified the two sets of duplicate value labels. It also informs us what will happen if instructed to select unique value labels among the duplicate sets: From the first set, it will use the underlined label `engine`; from the second set, it will use the underlined `rep78`. These choices may be overruled with the option `names()`. In this example, I accept the defaults and reinvoke `labeldup` with the option `select`.

```
   . labeldup, select
   2 sets of duplicate value labels found:
   Dupset 1: engine foreign
   Dupset 2: rep78 rep79 rep80
   . des
   Contains data from auto_labutil_from_spss.dta
   obs: 74
   vars: 7
   18 Apr 2005 13:00
   size: 2,072 (99.9% of memory free)
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>variable name</th>
<th>storage</th>
<th>display</th>
<th>value</th>
<th>variable label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>make</td>
<td>str17</td>
<td>%17s</td>
<td>make</td>
<td>make and model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>price</td>
<td>int</td>
<td>%8.0g</td>
<td>price</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rep78</td>
<td>byte</td>
<td>%8.0g</td>
<td>rep78</td>
<td>repair record 1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rep79</td>
<td>byte</td>
<td>%9.0g</td>
<td>rep79</td>
<td>repair record 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rep80</td>
<td>byte</td>
<td>%9.0g</td>
<td>rep80</td>
<td>repair record 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foreign</td>
<td>byte</td>
<td>%8.0g</td>
<td>engine</td>
<td>car type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>engine</td>
<td>byte</td>
<td>%8.0g</td>
<td>engine</td>
<td>engine type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Sorted by:

The variables are now correctly labeled using the two value labels named `rep78` and `engine`, but I am not satisfied. I don’t like mixing up names of variables and names of value labels. The last modification that I want to make is to rename the value labels from `rep78` to `repair` and from `engine` to `origin`, just as in the dataset that I showed you in the beginning of this insert.

```
   . labelrename rep78 repair
   Value label rep78 renamed to repair
   value label rep78 was attached to variables rep78 rep79 rep80
```
. labelrename engine origin
Value label engine renamed to origin
value label engine was attached to variables foreign engine
. des
Contains data from auto_labutil_from_spss.dta
obs: 74
vars: 7 18 Apr 2005 13:00
size: 2,072 (99.9% of memory free)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>variable name</th>
<th>storage</th>
<th>display</th>
<th>value</th>
<th>variable label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>make</td>
<td>str17</td>
<td>%17s</td>
<td>make</td>
<td>and model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>price</td>
<td>int</td>
<td>%8.0g</td>
<td>price</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rep78</td>
<td>byte</td>
<td>%9.0g</td>
<td>repair</td>
<td>repair record 1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rep79</td>
<td>byte</td>
<td>%9.0g</td>
<td>repair</td>
<td>repair record 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rep80</td>
<td>byte</td>
<td>%9.0g</td>
<td>repair</td>
<td>repair record 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foreign</td>
<td>byte</td>
<td>%8.0g</td>
<td>origin</td>
<td>car type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>engine</td>
<td>byte</td>
<td>%8.0g</td>
<td>origin</td>
<td>engine type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sorted by:
. label list
origin:
  0 domestic
  1 foreign
repair:
  1 very bad
  2 bad
  3 mediocre
  4 good
  5 very good

- Technical Note

The careful reader will have noticed that the capitalization of the variable and value labels is not the same as in the original data. Converting data to SPSS and back to Stata loses capitalization since SPSS does not distinguish between uppercase and lowercase letters. For the variable labels, this can be fixed relatively easily using the case-conversion function `proper()`. This function puts the first characters of words in uppercase and the other characters in lowercase. Thus we can loop over all variables, extract the variable label, convert it into the new form, and assign it as the variable label:

```
. foreach v of varlist _all {
  .  local oldlabel = variable label 'v'
  .  local newlabel = proper(""oldlabel"")
  .  label var 'v' ""newlabel"
}
```

Stata 8 introduced new inline macro expansion functions `` and `=', which make it possible to code this more compactly as
foreach v of varlist _all {
    local newlabel = proper("":variable label 'v''")
    label var 'v' ""newlabel"
}

or even more compactly, but almost incomprehensibly, as

foreach v of varlist _all {
    label var 'v' "=proper("":variable label 'v''")"
}

Now the variable labels have the appropriate capitalization. There is no comparable
method to convert the case of value labels. We have to accept the lowercase labels,
unless we are willing to do some intricate programming via uselabel.

\section{The commands}
\subsection{The command labeldup}

\textbf{Syntax}

\texttt{labeldup [ labellist1] [ , select names(labellist2) nodrop]}

\textbf{Description}

\texttt{labeldup} reports, and optionally removes, duplicate value labels among the value labels
in \texttt{labellist1} or in all value labels if no \texttt{labellist1} is specified. Duplicate value labels consists
of identical value-to-text mappings, e.g., two value labels A and B that both map 0 to
"no" and 1 to "yes" (and nothing else). \texttt{labeldup} reports such duplicate value labels. It
can also compress the dataset, using one value label rather than multiple labels. Links
between variables and value labels will, of course, be preserved, even in languages that
are inactive (see the description of \texttt{mlanguage} in Weesie [2005]).

\textbf{Options}

\texttt{select} specifies that duplicate value labels be removed, using the value label names
that come first alphabetically. For instance, if value labels B, C, and D are duplicates,
the name B is selected. Among the duplicate value labels V101, V102, and V103, the
label V101 is selected. See option \texttt{names()} to overrule this behavior.

\texttt{names(labellist2)} specifies a list \texttt{labellist2} of value labels that you prefer to retain as
value label names; in each list of duplicate value labels, at most one of the preferred
names may occur. If no preferred name is found among the duplicates, \texttt{labeldup}
takes the first name alphabetically.
nodrop suppresses dropping value labels that are no longer used, i.e., that are not attached to a variable. In the case of multilingual datasets, a value label is not used if it is not attached to a variable either in active language or in one of the dormant languages.

3.2 The command labelrename

Syntax

labelrename oldname newname [, force]

Description

labelrename renames a value label from oldname to newname, making sure that all variables to which oldname was attached are now attached to newname.

If a value label newname already exists, Stata verifies that oldname and newname define the same set of value-to-text mappings.

labelrename supports multilingual datasets (see the description of mlanguage in Weesie [2005]). If oldname is attached to variables in other languages, they are redirected to newname as well.

Option

force attach name newname to all variables that currently use oldname, even if value label oldname has not yet been defined.

3.3 Also see

We also recommend looking at the following commands that are related to labeldup and labelrename:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commands</th>
<th>See</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>uselabel and labelbook</td>
<td>[D] labelbook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>label</td>
<td>[D] label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>label language</td>
<td>[D] label language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mlanguage</td>
<td>Weesie (2005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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